

## HZ2, a Selective Kappa-Opioid Agonist

B. Kögel, T. Christoph, E. Friderichs, H.-H. Hennies,  
T. Matthiesen, J. Schneider, U. Holzgrabe\*

*Grünenthal GmbH, Research Center, Aachen, Germany, and*  
*\*Pharmaceutical Institute, University of Bonn, Bonn, Germany*

**Key Words:** Antinociception—HZ2—Pharmacological profile—Selective  $\kappa$  opioid agonist—Side effects—Structure-activity relationship.

### INTRODUCTION

The effects of opioid drugs are mediated by the three major types of receptors, the  $\mu$ ,  $\delta$ , and  $\kappa$  opioid receptors. The pharmacological profile of an individual opioid depends on, among other factors, the particular population of receptor types that is activated by the drug. Many compounds with a predominant  $\kappa$  opioid receptor affinity have been reported (7,11,25,28,69,70,32,35), some of which have a long history of clinical use, such as pentazocine and butorphanol. Most of them have only limited  $\kappa$ -binding selectivity, however, and, in addition, have a mixed agonist-antagonist action profile. This is typical of all  $\kappa$ -opioid analgesics used clinically so far.

Within the last 20 years there has been a substantial effort to develop pure and selective  $\kappa$  opioid agonists for pain treatment. Many compounds of this type have been discovered and subjected to intensive pharmacological characterization. Surprisingly, no compound has yet reached therapeutic application.

It has been found in animals that selective  $\kappa$  opioid receptor agonists produce antinociceptive effects without causing the undesirable effects of  $\mu$ -opioids, such as respiratory depression (35) and severe inhibition of gastrointestinal transit (64,31,25). They appear not to cause emesis or morphine-like physical dependence (69,70,35). Moreover, selective  $\kappa$  opioid receptor agonists do not cause cross-tolerance to morphine (69,70,32). However, the stimulation of the  $\kappa$  opioid receptor is associated with some undesirable effects, such as sedation and diuresis (35,15,68). Especially the benzomorphan class elicits dysphoric states in humans (46). In animals the  $\kappa$  opioid receptor agonist U50,488H was reported to induce conditioned place and taste aversion (58) which is likewise an indication of unpleasant psychotropic properties.

The dysphoric and hallucinatory side effects seem to be the most important drawbacks of the  $\kappa$  opioid agonists and are frequently the reason why newly developed compounds are not used therapeutically (2). However, strong psychotropic side effects

---

Address correspondence and reprint requests to Dr. B. Kögel, Grünenthal GmbH, Department of Pharmacology, Zieglerstr. 6, D-52078 Aachen, Germany. Fax: +49-241-569-2544.

are not generally associated with the use of  $\kappa$  compounds. It seems that they depend more on individual structural features than being strictly correlated to the degree of  $\kappa$  receptor interaction. Therefore, molecules with a new and atypical structure might provide the best opportunity to detect compounds with a reasonable dissociation of these properties from analgesia. In comparison with the wide spectrum of undesirable side effects of the  $\mu$ -receptor agonists such as morphine, these new  $\kappa$  agonists would be an attractive alternative for pain treatment.

A new and structurally unique group of compounds with remarkable opioid receptor affinity are the 9-phenyl substituted 3-aza- and 3,7-diazabicyclo[3.3.1]nonanones (5). Compounds with hydroxyl groups on the phenyl ring demonstrate a particularly high receptor affinity and strong antinociceptive potency (43,55). These molecules contain a 4-phenylpiperidine moiety (6), which is the dominant structure of the highly potent and clinically used  $\mu$ -opioids of the pethidine and prodine groups. In contrast, the 2,4-aryl-substituted 3,7-diazabicyclo[3.3.1]nonan-9-one-1,5-diester, discussed here, are devoid of the 4-phenyl piperidine moiety and are, thus, unfamiliar structures for opioid receptor interactions.

Preliminary screening with the phenylquinone writhing test in mice revealed an opioid-type analgesic activity in these compounds, which could be antagonized by naloxone. Interestingly, no Straub tail reaction was observed (58). These results prompted us to perform radioligand binding studies, which for some compounds such as dimethyl 7-methyl-2,4-di-2-pyridyl-3,7-diazabicyclo[3.3.1]nonan-9-one-1,5-dicarboxylate [HZ1] showed a high affinity and selectivity for the  $\kappa$  opioid receptor (4,5).

These promising pharmacological findings initiated an intensive search for high-affinity  $\kappa$  agonists within this group of diazabicycles. The most interesting derivative is the N3-methylated dimethyl-2,4-di-2-pyridyl-3,7-diazabicyclo[3.3.1]nonan-9-one-1,5-diester, denoted as HZ2 (Fig. 1). The  $\kappa$ -receptor affinity and selectivity of HZ2 are comparable to those of HZ1. In contrast to HZ1, HZ2 showed a high oral availability in different animal species. Therefore, this compound was selected for the extensive pharmacological characterization that is presented here.

## CHEMISTRY

### Synthesis and Physicochemical Properties of HZ2

The diazabicyclo[3.3.1]nonan-9-one skeleton can be built up in a two-step Mannich reaction. In the first step, by refluxing in ethanol or methanol 1 mol of glutaric acid ester, 2 moles of a corresponding arylaldehyde, and 1 mol of ammonia or methylamine, a piperidone ring is formed, which can be further cyclicized using paraformaldehyde and methylamine to give the diazabicyclus (23,56). According to the synthesis pathway, the compounds are not stable in hot acid solution, such as 1 M hydrochloric acid. The higher substituted piperidone ring decomposes and can be isolated as the new product *N*-methyl-4-oxo-piperidone-3,5-dicarboxylate (23).

HZ2 is characterized by four  $pK_a$  values,  $11.0 \pm 0.1$  and  $8.7 \pm 0.3$  for the nitrogens in the heterocyclic skeleton, and  $6.1 \pm 0.1$  and  $5.2 \pm 0.3$  for the pyridine nitrogens (60). The strong basicity of the nitrogens in positions 3 and 7 causes a high degree of

**Fig. 1.** Chemical structure of HZ2.

protonation under physiological conditions (approximately 80% double protonation at pH 7.4). Interestingly, HZ2 still exhibits a certain degree of lipophilicity at pH 7.4 ( $\log D = 0.042$ ), indicating that the substance should be able to cross the blood-brain barrier. Due to its polar character, the compound has a low protein binding capacity. The degree of protein binding amounts to about 20% as determined by means of continuous ultrafiltration (U. Holzgrabe, G. Zlotos, P. Nickel, preliminary results).

### **Structure-Activity Relationships of Diazabicyclononanones**

Since the molecular structure of HZ2 differs from all other  $\kappa$  ligands, it would be interesting to discover whether the physicochemical properties conform with the known ligands for the  $\kappa$  receptor. Therefore, an extended series of various compounds consisting of piperidone-3,5-diester, 3-oxa-7-aza-, and 3,7-diazabicyclo[3.3.1]nonan-9-one-1,5-diester was compared with the  $\kappa$ -agonistic arylacetamide derivatives, U-50488, U-69593, a benzothienyl derivative of U-62066, CI-977 (enandoline), stereoisomers of EMD-61753, an isoquinoline derivative, and ketocyclazocine, by means of molecular modeling and NMR-spectroscopic methods (5). It was found that the chair-boat conformation and the protonation at the nitrogen atom N7 of the diazabicycles was the pharmacophoric conformation of this assembly of compounds. Comparison of the spatial arrangements, as well as the molecular, electrostatic, hydrophobic, and hydrogen-binding potentials of the above-mentioned  $\kappa$ -selective ligands, revealed a model of structure-activity relationship of agonists at the  $\kappa$  receptor. In this model the spatial arrangement of the pharmacophoric elements is characterized by an almost parallel orientation of a carbonyl group and a protonated NH function in conjunction with at least one aromatic ring (Fig. 2). A second aromatic ring at a distance

**Fig. 2.** Stereochemical conformation of HZ2. N<sub>7</sub>-protonated chair-chair and chair-boat conformations. Arrows mark the most important pharmacophoric elements.

of 8 Å from the first benzene ring (distances of centroids) seems to enhance the affinity to the  $\kappa$  receptor.

## PHARMACOLOGY

All animal testing was performed in accordance with the recommendations and policies of the International Association for the Study of Pain and the national law on the care of animals in experiments, German Animal Welfare Law. All study protocols were approved by the local government committee for animal research, which is also an ethics committee.

### Biochemical Investigations

Specific binding of radioactive ligands for opioid receptor subtypes was investigated in *in vitro* experiments. The radioligands for  $\mu$ ,  $\delta$ , and  $\kappa$  sites were [ $^3\text{H}$ ]naloxone, [ $^3\text{H}$ ]CI-DPDPE, and [ $^3\text{H}$ ]CI 977. The methods for the preparation of the membrane particles to perform receptor studies are given in a recently published paper (19).

Table 1 summarizes  $K_i$  values for HZ2 and reference compounds. HZ2 was found to be a  $\kappa$ -selective opioid. The specificity is quite high, i.e., the  $\mu/\kappa$  quotient is in the range of at least two orders of magnitude. The reference agonists U-69593 and U-50488 are likewise  $\kappa$ -selective. Their absolute affinity to this receptor subtype is higher than that of HZ2 by a factor of three to four. In contrast, the reference compound bremazocine is non-subtype selective, showing a high affinity for all three opioid receptors.

Besides the opioid receptor interaction, the affinity of HZ2 to additional central nervous system target sites was investigated (Table 2). No relevant interaction with these receptors, uptake sites, ion channels, or enzyme system was detected.

TABLE 1.  $K_i$  values at  $\mu$ -,  $\delta$ -, and  $\kappa$  opioid receptors in membrane preparations of the rat brain

| Substances   | Opioid Receptors $K_i$ ( $\mu\text{M} \pm \text{S.E.M.}$ ) |                      |                       |
|--------------|--|----------------------|-----------------------|
|              | $\kappa$   | $\mu$                | $\delta$              |
| HZ2=         | $0.015 \pm 0.004$  | $> 1=$               | $> 10$                |
| U-50488=     | $0.0053 \pm 0.001$   | $1.6 \pm 0.4$        | $2.6 \pm 0.7$         |
| U-69593=     | $0.0035 \pm 0.00054$                                       | $5.4 \pm 1.0$        | $1.4 \pm 0.4$         |
| Bremazocine= | $0.00021 \pm 0.00003=$                                     | $0.0006 \pm 0.0001=$ | $0.00051 \pm 0.00011$ |
| Morphine=    | $0.17 \pm 0.02$  | $0.0022 \pm 0.001 =$ | $0.08 \pm 0.0001$     |

TABLE 2. Interaction of HZ2 wit the target sites listed below

| Assay  | Ligand/Substrate                               | Source of biological material  | Test concentration | Inhibition, % |
|--|--|--------------------------------|--------------------|---------------|
| DA – D <sub>1</sub> receptor                       | [ <sup>3</sup> H]SCH 23390                     | Rat brain, Corpus striatum     | 10 <sup>-4</sup> M | < 10          |
| Muscarinic receptor                                | [ <sup>3</sup> H] <i>N</i> -methyl scopolamine | Rat brain                      | 10 <sup>-6</sup> M | 10.0          |
| α <sub>1</sub> Adrenoceptor                        | [ <sup>3</sup> H]prazosine                     | Rat brain, cortex              | 10 <sup>-5</sup> M | 25.5          |
| 5-HT <sub>1A</sub> receptor                        | [ <sup>3</sup> H]8-OH-DPAT                     | Rat brain                      | 10 <sup>-5</sup> M | 23.5          |
| 5-HT <sub>2</sub> receptor                         | [ <sup>3</sup> H]ketanserine                   | Rat brain, cortex              | 10 <sup>-5</sup> M | < 10          |
| 5-HT <sub>3</sub> receptor                         | [ <sup>3</sup> H]-BRL 43.694                   | Rat brain                      | 10 <sup>-5</sup> M | < 10          |
| NMDA receptor (PCP site)                           | [ <sup>3</sup> H]MK801                         | Rat brain                      | 10 <sup>-5</sup> M | < 10          |
| NMDA receptor (polyamine site)                     | [ <sup>3</sup> H]ifenprodil                    | Rat brain, cortex              | 10 <sup>-5</sup> M | < 10          |
| Nicotinic ACh receptor                             | [ <sup>3</sup> H]cytisine                      | Rat brain                      | 10 <sup>-4</sup> M | < 10          |
| Sigma 1 receptor                                   | (+)[ <sup>3</sup> H]pentazocine                | Guinea pig brain               | 10 <sup>-4</sup> M | 28.9          |
| L-Ca <sup>2+</sup> channel (phenylalkylamine site) | [ <i>N</i> -Methyl- <sup>3</sup> H]verapamil   | Rat brain, cortex              | 10 <sup>-5</sup> M | 17.3          |
| L-Ca <sup>2+</sup> channel (benzothiazepine site)  | [ <sup>3</sup> H]Cis(+)-Diltiazem              | Rat brain, cortex              | 10 <sup>-5</sup> M | 19.3          |
| Na <sup>+</sup> channel (binding site 2)           | [ <sup>3</sup> H]BTX                           | Rat brain, cortex              | 10 <sup>-5</sup> M | 12.2          |
| ACh-esterase                                       | Acetyl-S-choline                               | Electric eel                   | 10 <sup>-4</sup> M | 19.3          |
| Choline uptake                                     | [ <sup>3</sup> H]Choline                       | Rat brain, Corpus striatum     | 10 <sup>-5</sup> M | < 10          |
| NA uptake  | [ <sup>3</sup> H]-1-Norepinephrine             | Rat hypothalamus, Synaptosomes | 10 <sup>-6</sup> M | < 10          |
| DA uptake  | [ <sup>3</sup> H] Dopamine                     | Rat brain, Corpus striatum     | 10 <sup>-6</sup> M | < 10          |

**Abbreviations:** DA, dopamine; 5-HT, serotonin; NMDA, *N*-methyl-D-aspartate; PCP, phencyclidine; ACh, acetylcholine; NA, noradrenaline.

HZ2

## Opioid-Receptor Interaction in Isolated Organs

The effect of HZ2 on electrically evoked twitch contraction was studied in guinea pig isolated ileum. HZ2 inhibited twitch contraction in a dose-dependent manner with an  $IC_{50}$  of  $5.91 \pm 0.79 \times 10^{-7}$  mol/l. At  $10^{-5}$  mol/l, HZ2 induced a 75% inhibition of the baseline contraction. This response was antagonized only with a high dose of the relatively non-selective opioid antagonist naloxone (100  $\mu$ mol/l). In contrast, morphine had an  $IC_{50}$  of  $0.1 \pm 0.004$   $\mu$ mol/l in this model, and was completely antagonized with naloxone (1  $\mu$ mol/l), indicating involvement of different opioid receptor in the effects of HZ2 and morphine.

The rabbit vas deferens preparation (44) was electrically stimulated and the resultant contractions were recorded isometrically. Cumulative concentration-response curves were obtained for HZ2 with an  $IC_{50}$  of  $8.23 \pm 9.23 \times 10^{-6}$  mol/l. Nor-binaltorphine 1  $\mu$ mol/l, a highly selective  $\kappa$ -opioid antagonist (63), completely inhibited the twitch contraction of HZ2, confirming the selectivity of HZ2 for  $\kappa$  opioid receptors.

## Acute Antinociception

Acute antinociceptive tests have been performed using thermal (tail-flick, hot-plate) (12,16), chemical (phenylquinone writhing test) (27), or electrical (tooth pulp stimulation) (47) stimuli in rats, mice, and rabbits (Table 3). In all tests HZ2 produced dose-related antinociception. The maximum attainable antinociceptive response was obtained in each test, indicating full agonistic activity of the compound. The peak effects were attained within 10 to 40 min after intravenous (i.v.) and 30 to 90 min after oral (p.o.) application.

The antinociceptive  $ED_{50}$  values (and 95% confidence intervals) in mice after intravenous administration ranged from 0.33 (0.23 to 0.43) mg/kg in the phenylquinone-induced writhing test to 3.18 (2.04 to 4.97) mg/kg in the 48°C hot-plate test. HZ2 also showed high potency in the tail-flick test in mice with an  $ED_{50}$  of 2.23 (1.80 to 2.71) mg/kg (Table 3).

Interestingly, after intravenous application in mice, the compound revealed an unusually long duration of action (Fig. 3). Antinociception persisted for more than 7 h after administration. This effect seems to be species dependent, since in the rat the duration of action was considerably shorter (data not shown). Moreover, the potency of HZ2 in the rat seems to be lower, since after intravenous application the compound was about three times less potent, and after oral application about six times less potent than in mice.

The oral availability of HZ2 can be estimated by comparing oral and intravenous  $ED_{50}$  values. Table 3 shows that in contrast to morphine HZ2 has a high oral availability in both, mice and rats. This is very pronounced in the tail-flick test in mice, where the intravenous: oral potency ratio is about 0.5 for HZ2, compared with about 0.05 for morphine.

One of the most reliable antinociceptive tests in animals is the electrically evoked tooth pulp stimulation in rabbits (47). Opioids and other predominantly centrally acting strong analgesics are most effective in this test. HZ2 elevated the threshold for elicitation of the licking and chewing response with an  $ED_{50}$  of 0.64 (0.42 to 0.95)

mg/kg i.v.. In comparison to morphine, HZ2 is noticeably potent in this test. The potency difference reaches a factor of about four in favor of HZ2. In other pain models HZ2 is slightly less than or maximally as potent as morphine.

The effect of naloxone on HZ2 antinociception was examined in the writhing test (Fig. 4). HZ2 or morphine (as reference) was given orally 30 min, and naloxone intravenously (in escalating dosages) 5 min before intraperitoneal (i.p.) administration of phenylquinone. The dose of both agonists used in this test completely inhibited the writhing reactions. We evaluated the antagonistic dose ( $AD_{50}$ ) of naloxone, which reduced the writhing response by 50% in comparison to the controls (untreated). Naloxone antagonized the writhing inhibition of HZ2 and morphine in a dose-dependent manner. The  $AD_{50}$  obtained for the HZ2/naloxone interaction was about five times higher than the  $AD_{50}$  for the morphine/naloxone interaction, indicating a lower potency of naloxone for displacing the  $\kappa$  opioid agonist HZ2 from the receptor.

### Inflammatory and Persistent Pain

The effect of HZ2 has been studied in two models of inflammatory and persistent pain in rats, the Randall-Selitto test (51) and the formalin test (14). The Randall-Selitto test is a model of acute transient inflammatory pain, which is induced by local application of brewer's yeast into a hindpaw, leading to an increased sensitivity towards mechanical pressure exerted on the paw. The formalin test is a frequently used model for persistent pain, which is mostly focused on the early phase of the chronification process. Formalin injection into the paw leads to a characteristic biphasic behavioral pain response. Both phases can be blocked to different degrees by several pain inhibitory mechanisms.

Systemic application of HZ2 led to a dose-dependent antinociceptive effect in the Randall-Selitto test with an  $ED_{50}$  of 27.7 (23 to 34.6) mg/kg i.p. To prove a possible central action of HZ2, the compound was tested by intrathecal administration. As with

TABLE 3. HZ2-induced antinociception in mice, rats, and rabbits

| Test=                  | Species= | Route | $ED_{50}$ (mg/kg)    |                    |
|------------------------|----------|-------|----------------------|--------------------|
|                        |          |       | HZ2=                 | Morphine           |
| Abdominal constriction | mouse=   | i.v.= | 0.33 (0.23 – 0.43)=  | 0.33 (0.19 – 0.51) |
|                        |          | p.o.= | 2.49 (1.48 – 3.61)=  | 4.70 (3.46 – 6.33) |
| Hot-plate (48°C)       | mouse=   | i.v.= | 3.18 (2.04 – 4.97)=  | 1.33 (1.13 – 1.55) |
|                        |          | i.p.= | 16.5 (12.9 – 20.2) = | 4.72 (3.75 – 5.77) |
| Tail-flick             | mouse=   | i.v.= | 2.33 (1.80 – 2.71)=  | 1.44 (1.10 -1.79)  |
|                        |          | p.o.= | 4.88 (3.80 – 6.57)=  | 26.1 (21.8 – 30.5) |
| Tail-flick             | rat=     | i.v.= | 5.49 (4.69 – 6.51)=  | 1.11 (0.78 – 1.47) |
|                        |          | p.o.= | 24.0 (20.0 – 31.5) = | 32.5 (22.6 – 43.3) |
| Tooth pulp stimulation | rabbit=  | i.v.= | 0.64 (0.42 – 0.95)=  | 2.28 (1.80 – 2.86) |

**Abbreviations:** i.v., intravenous; p.o. oral; i.p., intraperitoneal. All values are expressed as the  $ED_{50}$  (95% confidence intervals) at time of peak effect. Morphine is included for comparison.



**Fig. 3.** HZ2 and morphine dose-response curves after intravenous administration in the tail-flick test in mice. Each point on the graph represents the mean  $\pm$  S.E.M.,  $n = 10$  animals per group. % MPE (maximal possible effect) was determined as follows:

$$\% \text{ MPE} = \frac{\text{test latency} - \text{predrug latency}}{\text{cutoff time} - \text{predrug latency}} \times 100$$

**Fig. 4.** Antagonism by naloxone of the antinociceptive effects of an ED<sub>100</sub> dose of HZ2 and morphine in the phenylquinone writhing test. The agonists were given orally 30 min and naloxone intravenously (in escalating dosages) 5 min before phenylquinone was administered intraperitoneally. The antagonistic dose (AD<sub>50</sub>) was determined. Each column represents the mean response rate with S.E.M., *n* = 10 animals per group.

systemic administration the central route showed good efficacy with an ED<sub>50</sub> of 23.3 (16.9 to 29.4) µg/animal. This central effect was partially antagonized (32%) by coadministration of the κ-specific antagonist nor-binaltorphimine (8 µg/animal), whereas the µ-antagonist naloxone had no effect.

HZ2 showed antinociceptive activity in the formalin test. At a dose producing a half-maximal inhibition in the Randall–Selitto test (21.5 mg/kg i.p.), HZ2 inhibited both the early and late phase of the formalin pain reaction. The pain score, which is determined by three behavioral modalities (lifting, flinching, and licking/biting of the formalin injected paw), was reduced in the first phase by 47% and in the second phase by 60%. All three behavioral parameters were reduced to a similar degree by HZ2.

### Other Opioid Related Effects

The physical dependence potential of HZ2 was investigated in mice according to the method of Saelens et al. (54), multiple drug doses were administered over 2 d, followed by naloxone-precipitated withdrawal. After administration of HZ2 in an escalating dose scheme up to a final dose of 21.5 mg/kg i.p., no withdrawal behavior (jumping) was induced by naloxone. Naloxone was used at a high dose of 30 mg/kg i.p. so that κ-induced effects could be antagonized. Further behavioral tests in order to study the motivational properties of HZ2, such as place-preference or self-application tests, were not performed.

From the literature it is known that κ agonists induce conditioned place and taste aversion in animals (57). In addition, it is reported that treatment with the κ opioid receptor agonists U69593 and U50,488H abolishes cocaine-induced behavioral sensitization (26), probably by normalizing the cocaine-induced increases in basal levels of dopamine overflow in the nucleus accumbens (37). There is also evidence that κ opioid receptors play a role in the mediation of drug-induced reward. It has been reported that κ opioid receptor agonists attenuate the preference for both morphine (21,42) and cocaine (9,62) in a conditioned place preference paradigm.

The influence of HZ2 on the gastrointestinal tract was investigated in two models, the charcoal intestinal passage (39) and prostaglandin E2 (PGE2)-induced diarrhea (53). The charcoal test, reflecting the transit time, shows the influence on the upper part of the gastrointestinal tract up to the cecum. Additionally, PGE2 (100 µg/kg i.p.)-induced diarrhea in mice was used to test antisecretory and antidiarrheogenic properties. HZ2 inhibited charcoal passage with an ED<sub>25</sub> of 2.15 (1.47–3.12) mg/kg i.p. and PGE2-induced diarrhea with an ED<sub>50</sub> of 9.20 (6.34–13.4) mg/kg i.p. More than 50% inhibition in the charcoal passage could not be achieved because of toxic side effects at doses from 31.5 mg/kg i.p. upwards. HZ2 is approximately 3-fold less potent than morphine in the PGE2-induced diarrhea and inhibition of charcoal passage.

A possible emetic activity of HZ2 was investigated in the ferret. This model is frequently used to reveal the emetic potential of opioids (65), since centrally mediated emetic properties of opioids as well as their antiemetic potential in the higher dose ranges are in ferrets similar to those in humans. In addition, the anatomical and physiological characteristics of the gut of the ferret are similar to those of the human gut (36). HZ2 induced a dose-dependent emetic activity, including retching and vomiting in the dose range of 0.464 to 10.0 mg/kg i.p. Higher doses were associated with

an earlier onset of the vomiting periods. Unlike morphine, HZ2 does not seem to decrease emesis at higher doses, since up to the highest nontoxic dose (10 mg/kg i.p.), there was no reduction of emesis. Naloxone pretreatment completely antagonized the emetic effect of HZ2.

HZ2 caused a dose-dependent suppression of spontaneous locomotor activity (sedation) in mice at doses close to those required to produce antinociception. In the hole-board test (3), exploration motility was decreased with an ED<sub>50</sub> of 4.27 (3.27 to 6.28) mg/kg i.p. Confirming the sedative effect seen in motility tests, HZ2 potentiated pentobarbital sleeping time (17) in mice with an ED<sub>200</sub> of 5.14 (3.99 to 6.38) mg/kg i.p. Additionally, a decrease of motor coordination and tracking performance was seen in the rotarod test in mice. The motor performance was significantly impaired by HZ2 at doses  $\geq$  14.7 mg/kg i.p. An ED<sub>50</sub> value of 17.0 (1.3. 3 to 20.3) mg/kg i.p. was calculated.

$\kappa$ -Opioid receptors are involved in the regulation of diuresis (24,52). Agonists at  $\kappa$  opioid receptors increase diuresis most probably via inhibition of the release of antidiuretic hormone (ADH) from the pituitary gland (34). By intravenous administration, HZ2, 0.1 mg/kg, did not affect urine volume or excretion of electrolytes (Na<sup>+</sup>, Cl<sup>-</sup>, K<sup>+</sup>) in conscious rats. In the dose range from 0.464 to 10 mg/kg, however, HZ2 produced a large, not strictly dose-dependent, increase in diuresis and saluresis. This effect lasted for about 2 h. This diuretic activity of HZ2, occurring in the antinociceptive dose range, is consistent with a  $\kappa$  opioid receptor-mediated regulation of fluid excretion.

By intravenous administration HZ2 at 0.464 to 10 mg/kg did not influence the spontaneous respiratory rate in conscious rats. The increase in respiratory rate provoked by admixture of CO<sub>2</sub> (final concentration 5%) to the inspiration air was not reduced by intravenous HZ2 at doses up to 2.15 mg/kg; a reduction of CO<sub>2</sub>-stimulated increase in breathing frequency occurred after HZ2, 4.64 and 10.0 mg/kg i.v., when the respiratory rate rose from 123 breaths per min at baseline (before drugs and before CO<sub>2</sub>) to 169 and 176 breaths per min, respectively. In contrast, after treatment with the vehicle, the CO<sub>2</sub>-stimulated respiratory rate increased to 213 breaths per min. The modest effect of HZ2 on respiration is in line with the more common finding that  $\kappa$  opioid receptor agonists have limited effects on respiratory function (38,18).

## Cardiovascular Effects

In isolated, spontaneously beating guinea pig atrial preparations, HZ2 induced a concentration-dependent decrease of the beating frequency. The half-maximal concentration (EC<sub>50</sub> value with 95% confidence limits) for this negative chronotropic effect of HZ2 was 137 (119–158)  $\mu$ M. In isolated, electrically driven papillary muscles from guinea pigs, HZ2 exerted a negative inotropic effect with an EC<sub>50</sub> value of 38 (24–52)  $\mu$ M. The negative chronotropic and inotropic effects of HZ2 could easily be reversed with full recovery of contractile function after washout. Heart rate in conscious rats was reduced in a dose-dependent manner by intravenous injections of 1.0 to 10 mg/kg HZ2, with a maximum decrease of about 20%. Blood pressure in these normotensive rats was affected at the dose of 10 mg/kg HZ2, which also produced extrasystoles in about half of the animals. In urethane-anesthetized mice, HZ2 (1.0 to 4.64 mg/kg i.v.)

exerted a dose-dependent antiarrhythmic effect, demonstrated by an increase in the arrhythmogenic dose of aconitine from 61 µg/kg in vehicle-treated controls to 109 µg/kg after pretreatment with the highest dose of HZ2. The antiarrhythmic potency of HZ2 was 3- to 4-fold lower than that of quinidine sulfate. The cardiovascular *in vitro* (negative chronotropy and inotropy) and *in vivo* (bradycardia, antiarrhythmic effect) effects of HZ2 are best explained by an unspecific membrane-stabilizing effect at doses above the antinociceptive dose range.

## Toxicology

Toxicological investigations of HZ2 were carried out in rats and mice after single dose administration, using oral, intravenous, and intraperitoneal routes. The dose levels of HZ2 ranged from 14.7 to 681 mg/kg body weight. Four animals were used per dose level. Signs of toxicity were assessed following a modified protocol originally described by Irwin (29). Behavior and general condition of the animals were observed for up to 6 h following drug administration during a total observation period of 7 d. The behavioral profile (awareness, mood, motor activity), neurological symptoms (central excitation, motor coordination, muscle tone, reflexes), autonomic reactions, and general toxicological symptoms were monitored by evaluating up to 32 different parameters.

The results of the toxicological investigations are summarized in Table 4. The main symptoms were irregular respiration, reduced spontaneous activity, ventral recumbency and reduced body weight gain. Seizures were seen only at dose levels near the lethal range (mouse: 21.5 mg/kg i.v. and 681 mg/kg p.o.; rat: 464 mg/kg p.o.).

The pattern of side effects seen with HZ2 is in agreement with findings reported for other selective κ opioid agonists. In particular, locomotor impairment is described as typical of κ agonists (35,22,41).

In our investigations, seizures were not seen after HZ2 except at doses near the lethal range. Similar observations were made with other κ agonists. On the contrary, even an inhibitory effect on experimental limbic seizures is described for some κ

TABLE 4. Toxicological findings after single dose administration of HZ2 in rats and mice

|                                  | Mouse (mg/kg)= |          |          | Rat (mg/kg) |         |         |
|----------------------------------|----------------|----------|----------|-------------|---------|---------|
|                                  | i.v.=          | i.p.=    | p.o.=    | i.v.=       | i.p.=   | p.o.    |
| Dose range tested (mg/kg)        | 14.7–31.6      | 46.4–681 | 100–681= | 21.5–46.4   | 316–681 | 147–681 |
| Reduced spontaneous activity     | 14.7=          | 46.4=    | 100=     | 21.5=       | 316=    | 147     |
| Irregular respiratory activity   | 14.7=          | 46.4=    | 100=     | 21.5=       | 316=    | 147     |
| Ventral recumbency               | 14.7=          | 68.1=    | 147=     | 31.6=       | 464=    | 147     |
| Convulsions                      | 21.5=          | —        | 681=     | —           | —       | 464     |
| Reduction of body weight         | 14.7=          | 46.4=    | 100=     | 21.5=       | 316=    | —       |
| LD <sub>50</sub> range (approx.) | 26.1–31.6=     | 464–681  | 464–681= | 31.6–46.4   | 464–681 | 464–681 |

**Abbreviations:** i.v., intravenous; i.p., intraperitoneal; p.o., oral. Values are the individual test doses at which the indicated symptoms were observed.

agonists (49,66,33). In summary, HZ2 revealed no specific toxicological findings unknown for  $\kappa$  agonists.

## SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

We analyzed the pharmacological profile of HZ2, a selective  $\kappa$  opioid receptor agonist, with respect to its potential therapeutic value as an analgesic drug. *In vitro*, HZ2 exhibits selective affinity and high agonist potency at the  $\kappa$  receptor in opioid ligand binding and isolated organ assays. No relevant affinities to other target sites were detected. In the *in vivo* rodent and rabbit nociception-related models induced either by physical, chemical, or thermal noxious stimuli, HZ2, following systemic administration, shows a strong antinociceptive activity comparable to morphine. In addition, HZ2 exerts a potent action in inflammatory and persistent pain shown in the Randall–Selitto and formalin tests, respectively.

An unusually long duration of action was seen in the mouse tail-flick test after intravenous or oral administration. Moreover, the compound shows a high oral availability, and in this respect is superior to morphine.

A further advantage of HZ2 in comparison to the  $\kappa$  opioid agonist is the absence of dependence liability and respiratory depression. Effects of  $\kappa$  agonists on gastrointestinal functions have been discussed in the literature with some controversy (69,70,35). Reasons for the discrepancies regarding the relative functional significance of opioid receptor types depend on the species investigated, the motility measure used, the time period covered by the experiment, or the gut region examined (30). Nevertheless, the direct comparison of HZ2 and morphine in the charcoal intestinal passage and PGE2-induced diarrhea tests in mice revealed that the constipational potential of HZ2 is approximately 3-fold less than that of morphine.

The sedation, diuresis, and saluresis produced by HZ2 at doses close to the antinociceptive dose are consistent with the expected profile for a  $\kappa$  receptor agonist (15,34,35,67,45). The dose-dependent emesis, also seen in the antinociceptive dose range, is not a typical  $\kappa$  receptor mediated effect, but may be related to the special chemical structure of the compound.

In conclusion, HZ2 exhibits strong antinociceptive properties with a potency comparable to morphine. HZ2 possesses a typical  $\kappa$ -agonistic profile, exhibiting a panel of positive and negative activities: it has no morphine-type physical dependence or respiratory depression, but does produce diuresis and sedation. Morphine and related opioids currently provide the mainstay of analgesic therapy for severe pain.  $\kappa$ -Opioid receptor agonists have been developed to avoid the adverse effects of morphine-like  $\mu$ -selective analgesics while preserving their analgesic activity. With respect to its antinociceptive efficacy, the  $\kappa$  agonist HZ2 is comparable to morphine. However, the  $\kappa$ -receptor-specific side effects in combination with the drawbacks of  $\kappa$  agonists in clinical studies (induction of dysphoria or psychotomimesis) raise questions as to the therapeutic utility of this pharmacologically interesting compound.

**Acknowledgments:** The authors thank Miss Ingrid Loeser for her excellent secretarial help.

## REFERENCES

1. Bachelard H, Pitre M. Regional haemodynamic effects of  $\mu$ -,  $\delta$ -, and  $\kappa$  opioid agonists microinjected into the hypothalamic paraventricular nuclei of conscious, unrestrained rats. *Br J Pharmacol* 1995;115:613–621.
2. Barber A, Gottschlich R. Novel developments with selective, non peptidic kappa opioid receptor agonists. *Exp Opin Invest Drugs* 1993;6:1351–1368.
3. Boissier JR, Simon P. La reaction d'exploration chez la souris. *Therapie* 1962;17:1225–1232.
4. Borsodi A, Benyhe S, Holzgrabe U, Marki A, Nachtsheim C. Structurally novel group of ligands selective for kappa opioid receptors. *Reg Peptides* 1994;54:27–28.
5. Brandt W, Drosihn S, Haurand M, Holzgrabe U, Nachtsheim C. Search for the pharmacophore in kappa-agonistic diazabicyclo[3.3.1]nonan-9-one-1,5-diesters and arylacetamides. *Arch Pharm Pharm Med Chem* 1996;329:311–323.
6. Casy AF, Dewar GH. *The steric factor in medicinal chemistry—Dissymmetric probes of pharmacological receptors*. New York, Plenum Press, 1993:491.
7. Clark CR, Birchmore B, Sharif NA, Hunter JC, Hill RG, Hughes J. PD117302: A selective agonist for the  $\kappa$  opioid receptor. *Br J Pharmacol* 1988;93:618–626.
8. Codd EE, Shank RP, Schupsky JJ, Raffa RB. Serotonin and norepinephrine uptake inhibiting activity of centrally acting analgesics: Structural determinants and role in antinociception. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther* 1995;274:1263–1270.
9. Crawford CA, McDougall SA, Bolanos CA, Hall S, Berger SP. The effects of the kappa agonist U-50,488 on cocaine-induced conditioned and unconditioned behaviors and fos immunoreactivity. *Psychopharmacology* 1995;120:392–399.
10. Culpepper-Morgan J, Kreek MJ, Holt PR, LaRoche K, Zhang J, O'Bryan L. Orally administered kappa as well as mu opiate agonists delay gastrointestinal transit time in the guinea pig. *Life Sci* 1988;42:2073–2077.
11. Costello GF, Main BG, Barlow JJ, Carroll JA, Shaw JS. A novel series of potent and selective agonists at the opioid  $\kappa$ -receptor. *Eur J Pharmacol* 1988;151:475–478.
12. D'Amour FE, Smith DL. A method for determining loss of pain sensation. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther* 1941;72:74–78.
13. Dosaka-Akita K, Tortella FC, Holaday JW, Long JB.  $\kappa$  opioid agonist U-50,488H antagonizes respiratory effects of  $\kappa$  opioid receptor agonists in conscious rats. *Govt Rep Announce Ind* 1993;15.
14. Dubuisson D, Dennis SG. The formalin-test: A quantitative study of the analgesic effects of morphine, meperidine, and brain stem stimulation in rats and cats. *Pain* 1977;4:161–174.
15. Dykstra LA, Gmerek DE, Winger G, Woods JH. Kappa opioids in rhesus monkeys. I. Diuresis, sedation, analgesia and discriminative stimulus effects. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther* 1987;242:413–420.
16. Eddy NB, Leimbach D. Synthetic analgesics: II. Dithienylbutenyl- and diethienylbutylamines. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther* 1953;107:385–393.
17. Farid K, Stino R. Divergent selection for pentobarbital-induced sleeping times in mice. *Pharmacology* 1992;44:257–259.
18. France CP, Medziradsky F, Woods JN. Comparison of kappa opioids in rhesus monkeys: Behavioral effects and receptor binding affinities. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther* 1994;268:47–58.
19. Frink M, Hennies H-H, Englberger W, Haurand M, Willfert B. Influence of tramadol on neurotransmitter systems of the rat brain. *Arzneim-Forsch/Drug Res* 1996;46:1029–1036.
20. Fujibayashi K, Kubota-Watanabe M, Iizuka Y. Effects of R-84760, a selective  $\kappa$  opioid receptor agonist, on nociception, locomotion, and respiration in rats. *Arch Int Pharmacodyn Ther* 1996;331:153–162.
21. Funada M, Suzuki T, Narita M, Misawa M, Nagase H. Blockade of morphine reward through the activation of kappa opioid receptors in mice. *Neuropharmacology* 1993;32:1315–1323.
22. Giardina G, Clarke GD, Dondio G, Petrone G, Sbacchi M, Vecchietti V. Selective  $\kappa$  opioid agonists: Synthesis and structure-activity relationships of piperidines incorporating an oxo-containing acyl group. *J Med Chem* 1994;37:3482–3491.
23. Haller R. Zur Kenntnis substituierter 3, 7-Diaza- und 3-Oxa-7-aza-bicyclo-[3,3,1]-nonanone. *Arzneimittelforschung* 1965;15:1327–1330.

24. Hansen PE, Morgan BA. Structure-activity relationship in enkephalin peptides. In: Meienhofer J, Udenfried S, eds. *The peptides*, New York: Academic Press, 1984:269–231.
25. Hayes AG, Birch PJ, Hayward NJ, et al. A series of novel, highly potent, and selective agonists for the  $\kappa$  opioid receptor. *Br J Pharmacol* 1990;101:944–948.
26. Heidbreder CA, Babovic-Vuksanovic D, Shoaib M, Shippenberg TS. Development of behavioral sensitization to cocaine: Influence of kappa opioid receptor agonists. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther* 1995;275:150–163.
27. Hendershot LC, Forsaith J. Antagonism of the frequency of phenylquinone-induced writhing in the mouse by weak analgesics and nonanalgesics. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther* 1959;125:237–240.
28. Hunter JC, Leighton GE, Meecham KG, et al. CI-977, a novel and selective agonists for the kappa opioid receptor. *Br J Pharmacol* 1990;101:183–189.
29. Irwin S. Comprehensive observational assessment: Ia. A systematic, quantitative procedure for assessing the behavioral and physiologic state of the mouse. *Psychopharmacologia* 1968;13:222–2571.
30. Krevsky B, Cowan A, Maurer AH, Butt W, Fisher RS. Effects of selective opioid agonists on feline colonic transit. *Life Sci* 1991;48:1597–1602.
31. La Regina A, Petrillo P, Sbacchi M, Tavani A. Interaction of U-69-593 with  $\mu$ -,  $\delta$ -, and  $\kappa$ -opioid binding sites and its analgesic and intestinal effects in rats. *Life Sci* 1988;42:293.
32. Lahti RA, Mickelson MM, McCall JM, Von Voigtlander PF. [<sup>3</sup>H]U-69593, a highly selective ligand for the opioid  $\kappa$  receptor. *Eur J Pharmacol* 1985;109:281–284.
33. Lason W, Simpson JN, McGinty JF. The interaction between  $\kappa$  opioid agonist, U-50,488H, and kainic acid: Behavioral and histological assessments. *Brain Res* 1989;482:333–339.
34. Leander JD. A kappa opioid effect: Increased urination in the rat. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther* 1983;224:89–94.
35. Leighton GE, Johnson MA, Meecham KG, Hill RG, Hughes J. Pharmacological profile of PD 117302, a selective  $\kappa$  opioid agonist. *Br J Pharmacol* 1987;92:915–922.
36. Mackay TW, Andrews PLR. A comparative study of the vagal innervation of the stomach in man and the ferret. *J Anat* 1983;135:449–481.
37. Maisonneuve IM, Archer S, Glick SD. U50,488, a kappa opioid receptor agonist, attenuates cocaine-induced increases in extracellular dopamine in the nucleus accumbens of rats. *Neuroscience* 1994;181:57–60.
38. Martin WR, Eades CG, Thompson JA, Huppler RE, Gilbert PE. The effects of morphine- and nalorphine-like drugs in the non-dependent and morphine dependent chronic spinal dog. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther* 1976;197:517–532.
39. Macht DI, Barba-Gose J. Two new methods for the pharmacological comparison of insoluble purgatives. *J Am Pharm Ass* 1931;20:558–564.
40. Martin WR. Pharmacology of opioids. *Pharmacol Rev* 1984;35:283–323.
41. McLaughlin CR, Tao Q, Abood ME. Analysis of the antinociceptive actions of the  $\kappa$  opioid agonist enandoline (CI-977) in neonatal and adult rats: comparison to  $\kappa$  opioid receptor mRNA ontogeny. *Drug Alcohol Depend* 1995;38:261–269.
42. Narita M, Suzuki M, Funada M, Misawa M, Nagase H. Blockade of morphine-induced increase in turnover of dopamine on the mesolimbic dopaminergic system by kappa opioid receptor activation in mice. *Life Sci* 1993;52:397–404.
43. Ohki E, Oida S, Ohashi Y, Yoshida A, Kamoshita K, Takagi H. 3,5-Propanepiperidine derivatives as potential analgesics. *Chem Pharm Bull* 1974;22:1014–1021.
44. Oka T, Negishi K, Suda M, Matsumiya T, Ueki M. Rabbit vas deferens: A specific bioassay for opioid  $\kappa$ -receptor agonists. *Eur J Pharmacol* 1981;73:235–236.
45. Peters GR, Ward NJ, Antal EG, Lai PY, Demaar EW. Diuretic actions in man of a selective kappa opioid agonist: U-62,066E. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther* 1987;240:128–131.
46. Pfeiffer A, Brantl V, Herz A, Emrich HM. Psychotomimesis mediated by  $\kappa$  opiate receptors. *Science* 1986;233:774–776.
47. Piercey MF, Schroeder LA. A quantitative analgesic assay in the rabbit based on the response to tooth pulp stimulation. *Arch Int Pharmacodyn* 1980;248:294–304.
48. Porreca F, Burks TF. The spinal cord as a site of opioid effects on gastrointestinal transit in the mouse. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther* 1983;227:22–27.
49. Przewlocka B, Machelska H, Lason W.  $\kappa$ -Opioid receptor agonists inhibit the pilocarpine-induced seizures and toxicity in the mouse. *Eur Neuropsychopharmacol* 1994;4:527–533.
50. Ramabadrán K, Bansinath M, Turndorf H, Puig MM. Stereospecific inhibition of gastrointestinal transit by  $\kappa$  opioid agonists in mice. *Eur J Pharmacol* 1988;155:329–331.



51. Randall LO, Selitto JJ. A method for measurement of analgesic activity on inflamed tissue. *Arch Int Pharmacodyn* 1957;111:409–419.
52. Reisine T, Pasternak G. Opioid analgesics and antagonists. In: Hardman JG, Limbird LE, eds. *The pharmacological basis of therapeutics*. New York: McGraw Hill, 1996;521–555.
53. Riviere PJM, Farmer SC, Burks TF, Porreka F. Prostaglandin E<sub>2</sub>-induced diarrhea in mice: Importance of colonic secretion. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther* 1991;256:547–552.
54. Saelens JH, Granat FR, Sawyer WK. The mouse jumping test. Simple screening method to estimate the physical dependence capacity of analgesics. *Arch Int Pharmacodyn Ther* 1971;190:213–218.
55. Salve PS, Hite GJ, Heyman RA, Gianutsos G. 3,7-Diazabicyclane: A new narcotic analgesic. *J Med Chem* 1986;29:2111–2113.
56. Samhammer A, Holzgrave U, Haller R. Synthese, Stereochemie und analgetische Wirkung von 3,7-Diazabicyclo[3.3.1]nonan-9-onen und 1,3-Diazaadamantan-6-onen. *Arch Pharmacol* 1989;322:551–555.
57. Shippenberg TS, Bals-Kubik R, Herz A. Motivational properties of opioids: Evidence that an activation of  $\kappa$ -receptors mediates reinforcement processes. *Brain Res* 1987;436:234–239.
58. Shippenberg TS, Stein C, Huber A, Millan MJ, Herz A. Motivational effects of opioids in an animal model of prolonged inflammatory pain: Alteration in the effects of  $\kappa$ - but not of  $\mu$ -agonists. *Pain* 1988;35:179–186.
59. Shook JE, Pelton JT, Hruby VJ, Burks TF. Peptide opioid antagonist separates peripheral and central opioid antitransit effects. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther* 1987;243:492–500.
60. Siener T, *Ph.D. Thesis*. Bonn 1997.
61. Smissman EE, Ruenitz PC. 7-Aza analogs of the analgetic agent azabicyclane. Synthesis and pharmacological analysis. *J Med Chem* 1976;19:184–186.
62. Suzuki T, Shiozaki Y, Misukawa Y, Misawa M, Nagase H. The role of mu- and kappa opioid receptors in cocaine-induced conditioned place preference. *Jpn J Pharmacol* 1992;58:435–442.
63. Takemori AE, Ho BY, Naeseth JS, Portoghese PS. Nor-binaltorphimine, a highly selective  $\kappa$ -opioid antagonist in analgesia and receptor binding assays. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther* 1988;246:255–258.
64. Tavani A, Gambino MC, Petrillo P. The opioid  $\kappa$ -selective compound U-50,488H does not inhibit intestinal propulsion in rats. *J Pharm Pharmacol* 1984;36:343–344.
65. Thompson PI, Bingham S, Andrews PLR, Patel N, Joel SP, Slevin ML. Morphine-6-glucuronide: A metabolite of morphine with greater emetic potency than morphine in the ferret. *Br J Pharmacol* 1992;106:3–8.
66. Tortella FC, Robles L, Echevarria E, Hunter JC, Hughes J. PD 117302, a selective non-peptide opioid  $\kappa$ -agonist, protects against NMDA and maximal electroshock convulsions in rats. *Govt Rep Announce Ind* 1990;22.
67. Ukai M, Kameyama T. Multi-dimensional analyses of behavior in mice treated with U50,488H, a purported kappa (non-mu) opioid agonist. *Brain Res* 1985;337:352–356.
68. Vaupel DB, Cone EJ, Johnson RE, Su TP. Kappa opioid partial agonist activity of the enkephalin-like pentapeptide BW942C based on urination and *in vivo* studies in humans and animals. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther* 1990;252:225–234.
69. Von Voigtlander PF, Lahti RA, Ludens JH, U-50,488: A selective and structurally novel non-mu (kappa) opioid agonist. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther* 1983;224:7–12.
70. Von Voigtlander PF, Lewis RA. Analgesic and mechanistic evaluation of spiradolone, a potent kappa opioid. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther* 1988;245:259–262.
71. Ward SJ, Takemori AE. Relative involvement of receptor subtypes in opioid-induced inhibition of intestinal motility in mice. *Life Sci* 1982;31:1267–1270.